#### DOCUMENT BESUME

3D 137 818 CS 203 323

AUTHOR Kaplan, Frank L.

TITLE The Czech and Slovak Press: The First 100 Years.

Journalism Monographs No. 47.

INSTITUTION Association for Education in Journalism.

PUB DATE Jan 77 NOTE 58p.

AVAILABLE FROM Prof. Harold Wilson, AEJ Publications Manager, School

of Journalism and Mass Communications, Univ. of

Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minn. 55455 (\$2.50)

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.83 HC-\$3.50 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Czech Literature: \*History: \*Journalism: \*Political

Influences; Political Power; \*Press Opinion

IDENTIFIERS #Czechoslovakia

ABSTRACT

Czech and Slovak journalism, which began with the revolutionary press of 1848 and 1849, became a dominant force in cultural and political life, after a brief repressive period in the 1850s. This study traces the evolution of modern Czechoslovak journalism from 1848 to February 1948, when the Communist party's rise to power forced a change in its orientation and mission. The first section deals specifically with the establishment of press traditions, and with growth and activism until the end of the First Republic in 1938. The second section focuses on the Communist party press, its origins, and the conflicts which arose from its given task, to reorient the nation toward a new political and socioeconomic course. Journalism is shown to have been an important weapon, utilized by an activist intelligentsia in the struggle against tyranny, absolute rule, and suppression of basic human rights. (JM)

\* reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality

\* of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available \* via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not

\* responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions \*

# US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH. EDUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO-DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORCANIZATION ORIGIN-ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NUT NECESSARILY REPRE-SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

i

1

## FRANK L. KAPLAN

The Czech and Slovak Press: The First 100 Years

> "PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS COPY-RIGHTED MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

# The <u>Association for</u> Education in Journalism

TO ERIC AND ORGANIZATIONS OPERATING UNDER AGREEMENTS WITH THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION FURTHER REPRODUCTION OUTSIDE THE ERIC SYSTEM REQUIRES PERMISSION OF THE COPYRIGHT OWNER."

(Copyright 1977 by the Association for Education in Journalism)

FRANK L. KAPLAN is an assistant professor in the School of Journalism. University of Colorado, Boulder. Born in Bern, Switzerland, he lived in Czechoslovakia and Canada before coming to the United States. He holds B.A. and M.A. degrees from the University of Southern California and a Ph.D. from the University of Wisconsin, Madison. His book, Winter Into Spring: The Czechoslovak Press and the Reform Movement 1963-1968, detailing the print media's performance from the start of its second hundred years, is scheduled for publication in 1977.

Publication of this monograph was made possible by a grant from the University of Colorado's Committee on University Scholarly Publications.

SS 203 020

# JOURNALISM MONOGRAPHS

NUMBER FORTY-SEVEN • JANUARY 1977

BRUCE H. WESTLEY, Editor

#### EDITORIAL BOARD

THOMAS A. BOWERS, JAMES W. CAREY, STEVEN H. CHAFFEE, DAVID G. CLARK, PETER CLARKE, RICHARD R. COLE, EVERETTE E. DENNIS, S. WATSON DUNN, RICHARD A. EK, RONALD T. FARRAR, BRADLEY S. GREENBERG, GERALD L. GROTTA, THOMAS H. GUBACK, WILLIAM A. HACHTEN, HANNO HARDT, KURT E. M. KENT, LAWRENCE W. LICHTY, L. JOHN MARTIN, MAXWELL E. McCOMBS, JACK M. McLEOD, JOHN T. McNELLY, MICHAEL J. PETRICE, IVAN L. PRESTON, GALEN RARICK, KEITH P. SANDERS, T. JOSEPH SCANLON, LAWRENCE SCHNEIDER, DONALD L. SHAW, JOHN D. STEVENS, DWIGHT L. TEETER, DAVID H. WEAVER, MARY ANN P. YODELIS.

Members of the AEJ Publications Committee: EDWIN EMERY (Chairman), DONALD R. GRUBE, ROBERT V. HUDSON, JOHN STEVENS, MAXWELL E. McCOMBS, WILLIAM L. RIVERS.

Published serially at Lexington, Kentucky, by the Association for Education in Journalism with the support of the American Association of Schools and Departments of Journalism.

Manuscripts and other editorial correspondence should be addressed to the editor. Prof. Bruce H. Westley, School of Journalism, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky, 40506. Business correspondence, including subscriptions, should be directed to AEJ Publications Manager, School of Journalism, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 75155.

Subscription rates: \$10.00 per year, \$10.50 outside the United States and Canada. Checks should be made out to Journalism, Monographs.

# Contents

Introduction	
Introduction	
The Communist Party Party	********************
Postscript	
Footnotes	47
*	48

(Prior Publication)

## Introduction

THE CREATION of Czechoslovakia as a nation-state in 1918, and the simultaneous evolution of its press system, was the culmination of numerous interrelated developments which unfolded during the course of European history following the arrival of the industrial age. Although the most noteworthy trends in the historic Bohemian Crown lands of Bohemia, Moravia and Silesia began with the dawn of the Nineteenth century, until the uprising of June, 1848 (the birthyear of nationalism in Central Europe), these trends paralleled more in spirit than overt actions the revolutionary fervor evident throughout the rest of Europe.

During the first half of the Nineteenth century, a resurgence of romantic nationalism arose among the Czechs and Slovaks, a movement guided by a vanguard of patriotic intellectuals who wished to impart to their fellow countrymen the long suppressed Czech and Slovak cultural heritage and, when the need arose, provided them with political awareness and guidance. Through this group of individuals, most of whom were closely associated with periodicals and journals, the population gained political acumen and a sense of national history, both important for the later formation of the Czechoslovak state.

Utilizing the one common factor which had not been totally suppressed by pressures of Germanization and Magyarization—the spoken language—these intellectuals expanded and purified the vernacular into a standardized literary form which they then employed to reawaken the people's latent sense of self-identity and national consciousness. Meanwhile, the slowly emerging middle class—with its growing nationalism, hatred of Prince Metternich's administration, and realization that absolutism and feudalism had to be abolished in order to further political, economic and cultural development—provided forces toward change in the feudal Habsburg Empire.

The revolutionary struggle of 1848-49 that followed ended in defeat for the Czech reformers and brought a return of Austrian absolutism for the ensuing decade. Yet the reformist ideas which the revolutionary movement had inspired and the awakened national consciousness remained. To this must also be added the two principal achievements of the revolutionary turmoil: the peasantry's emancipation and the accompanying reorganization of the Empire's central administration and economic life. These changes paved the way for further modernization and industrialization, which became most evident in the Czech lands.

The spirit of revolution soon subsided to a level of practical realism or, as some have observed, opportunism, and radicalism changed to reformism. This meant the outward acceptance of the Austrian system, including all its negative aspects, and the adoption of a realistic approach toward improvement from within.

With a few notable exceptions, this lack of militancy has prevailed throughout Czechoslovakia's existence. For, whenever under the domination (direct or implicit) of an external power, the people have adapted themselves to the imposed system and, never losing sight of their national heritage and liberal Western orientation, have worked internally toward reform. The spirit which pervaded the national movement of the last century and the reform movement during the 1960s is a striking case in point.

Accompanying the national renaissance was the rise of modern Czech and Slovak journalism which, while arrested by the resurgent Austrian absolutism of the 1850s, began anew during the following decade. Building on the foundation established by the revolutionary press of 1848-49, the print media, especially the Czech press, soon became a dominant force in cultural and political life. This prominent position of journalism was reaffirmed during the interwar period, 1918-38, when the press helped the nation through its arduous initial phase of statehood.

Meanwhile, the founding of the Communist Party of Czechoslovakia (KSC) in 1921 led to the emergence of a Communist press which became actively engaged in discussions concerning national affairs. Two significant factors contributed to the rise of an active Party press: 1) During the interwar period, the KSC was a legal political party which participated (in form if not in spirit) in the Republic's parliamentary system of government. 2) Among the Party's supporters was a large segment of the intelligentsia, many of whom became closely associated with Communist or leftist publications.

In the late 1920s, however, the KSC was taken over by a new radical leadership which was directly influenced by the Communist International (Comintern). The resulting change in the Party's orientation was reflected in the press, which was forcibly fashioned into a Comintern spokesman and, following the war, helped the KSC in its struggle to achieve total power.

It is the purpose of this study to trace the evolution of modern Czechoslovak journalism from its emergence during the political ferment of 1848 to the forced change in its orientation and mission which accompanied the Communist Party's rise to power in Czechoslovakia in February, 1948. While the first section deals specifically with the establishment of press traditions, growth and activism until the end of the First Republic (1938), the second focuses on the Communist Party press, its origins and the conflicts which arose out of its given task to reorient the nation toward a new political and socio-economic course.

The study shows that, from its difficult beginnings, journalism in Czechoslovakia has been an important weapon—at times the only one—utilized by an activist intelligentsia in the continued struggle against tyranny, absolute rule and suppression of basic human rights. By so doing, this monograph helps to explain more fully the press activism which has been repeatedly evident in this small but historically significant European state, one critically situated between East and West.

### The Formative Years

MODERN Czech and Slovak journalism, compared to that of Great Britain, France, Germany or the United States, was a late arrival within the broad sphere of social communications. Its emergence began during the fourth decade of the last century when the spirit of revolution was sweeping Europe. The politically turbulent atmosphere which served as the impetus for its growth left a lasting mark on the nation's press, best reflected in the activist character and reformist nature dominating its pages. But it was not until the second half of the 1840s that there appeared Czech- and Slovak-language newspapers which adopted the fundamental concepts of modern journalistic practice and may thus be classified as independent organs (as opposed to their government sanctioned predecessors).

An exception in Bohemia to this general trend was *Prazske noviny* (Prague News), whose origin can be traced to February, 1719, and whose coverage included political events. This became especially evident when Karel Havlicek was made editor at the start of 1846. It is largely to Havlicek's credit as a journalist that after his first year as editor the paper not only enlarged its format but also increased its subscribers from 200 to 1,500. However, being primarily a voice of the Austrian administration and published only twice weekly, the *Prazske noviny* of this period cannot be considered a paper which fully served its Czech readers, one which they could regard as their own.

An early attempt also was made to maintain a Slovak-language newspaper when Daniel Tallyai published the first issue of his *Prespurske noviny* (Pressburger News) on July 1, 1783, in what is now Slovakia's capital city of Bratislava. But a lack of subscribers forced Taliyai to abandon the venture four years later. Some 25 years clapsed before the next Slovak paper, *Tydennik* (Weekly),

appeared in 1912. This tack of support can be attributed to the fact that Slovakia's middle class was as yet too weak, both numerically and financially, to sustain its own newspaper.<sup>2</sup> Thus, until spring 1848, representative organs of national journalism consisted primarily of entertainment, literary, humor and specialized publications.

The most widely circulated Czech publications supplying light reading and enjoyment were Ceska vcela (Czech Bee) and Kvety ceske (Czech Blossoms), while Svetozor (World Outlook) was a popular illustrated magazine styled after the German "penny press" and the French magazin pittoresque.<sup>3</sup> Its publisher was Pavel J. Safarik, a scholar and a leading figure of the cultural revival.

The first scholarly journal intended for the Czech intelligentsia was  $Krok^+(Step)$ , which was published irregularly and with a few lengthy interruptions from the beginning of 1821 until April, 1840. The most significant academic publication of the period, however, was Casopis Ceskeho museum (Journal of the Czech Museum), popularly referred to as Museinik. Founded in 1827, the quarterly was the inspiration of historian and political leader Frantisek Palacky, its first editor, and for several decades was considered the central organ of Czech scholarship. To these periodicals must also be added the religious press, represented for the most part by publications of the Roman Catholic church.

While the above-mentioned publications did not as a rule deal with political topics and were not chronicles of current events per se, they nevertheless helped to educate the Czech-speaking people. They served as the main channel for broadening the cultural revival, primarily among members of the middle class and the semi-literate workers who began to settle in cities and towns during the 1830s and 1840s.

The periodicals devoted to fiction and general reading entertainment especially afforded their editors and contributors, including many prominent essayists, poets and scholars, the opportunity to popularize the reviving Czech literary language and to make readers aware of Slavic history, literature and scholarship. This, then, was the journalistic atmosphere prior to the revolutionary movement of 1848 when a succession of events, namely the fall of Prince Metternich's administration and the imperial decree of

March 15, 1848, abolishing censorship, brought a new epoch in the history of Czech and Slovak journalism.

Revolution and the Press

The Revolutionary spirit permeating Europe had stimulated an acute hunger for political news which, with the end of censorship, editors of existing and the growing number of newly founded Czech papers attempted to satisfy. The new trend was established in the main by Havlicek who, upon leaving Prazske noviny, began publishing the first Czech-language daily, Narodni noviny (National News), on April 5, 1848. Other dailies soon followed his example, while existing periodicals, including Musejnik and Ceska vcela, began publishing articles concerning both domestic and foreign political events. By the end of the year, 37 political newspapers and periodicals were being published in the Bohemian capital. This included 20 in Czech and 17 in German.<sup>5</sup>

A special feature of the Prague scene of this period was that some newspapers printed both Czech-and German-language editions. Thus the evening paper Pozor (Beware) also appeared as Habt Acht, and the dailies Svornost (union), Rychlik z Cech (Express from Bohemia) and Vlastimil (Patriot) were also published as Concordia, Eilbote aus Bohmen and Patriot, respectively. Bohemia included articles in both languages. In Prague alone, 66 periodicals (in both Czech and German) were published at the end of 1848.6 This made the city one of the largest press centers in the Austrian Empire, second only to Vienna itself.

The individual primarily responsible for unifying the existing Slovak dialects into a uniform written language during this period was Ludovit Stur, a teacher, journalist and writer who soon established himself as a leader of the younger generation of Slovak patriots. "His motivations were chiefly political: the new language was to unite all Slovaks, both Catholic and Protestant, and to mobilize them against the increasingly fierce campaign of Magvarization to which they were being subjected."

Between 1845 and 1848, Stur was publisher and editor of Slovenskje narodne noviny (Slovak National Newspaper) which became the chief voice of Slovakia's national awakening. In the absence of any organized political party or group, Stur and his

paper provided the necessary leadership for the 1848 revolutionary movement in Slovakia.8

The basic aim of the revolutionary movement in the Czech. lands was to unite the Czech people and to eliminate all hurdles to their future development as a nation. But the attitude of social groups toward such problems forced the division of the politically awakening population into two basic camps, the middle class liberals and the radical democrats, each of which proclaimed their programs through their newly founded papers. And while Havlicek's Narodni noviny was soon recognized as the main organ of the liberals, there existed several newspapers which espoused the radical democrats' cause. But because the Slovaks were subjected to sustained denationalization pressures by the Magyars until the end of World War I (facilitated by the fact that the Slovaks were almost exclusively peasants who lacked the cohesive leadership generally found among the intelligentsia or the middle class with its economic base) their national movement was less forceful and effective than that which developed among the Czechs. It should be recalled, moreover, that the Slovaks had been ruled since the Tenth century by the Magyars who, though a minority in the Hungarian lands, represented the propertied and educated class. Thus, the experience of the Slovaks within the Habsburg Empire was quite different from that of their Czech neighbors to the west in Moravia and Bohemia. This difference between the Slovaks and the more industrialized and advanced Czechs was to cause a lasting strain in their relations once they formed their own nation in 1918, a strain being felt to this day.

The most prominent of these was Prazsky vecemi list (Prague Evening Page), first published in June, 1848, under the editorship of Jan Knedlhans-Liblinsky. With a press run of 5,000, it was the most widely circulated paper of the period. The paper's staff often engaged in polemics with Havlicek and acquainted its readers with the ideals of utopian socialism.

Other important publications of the radical democrats were Noviny Lipy slovenske (Newspaper of the Slavic Linden Tree), published for less than six months by an association of the same name, and Obcanske noviny (Citizen News), founded by editor-publisher Emanuel Arnold in November, 1848. Published four times weekly until its demise the following May, the latter paper's

influence was strongest in rural areas, due to its concern with problems of the peasantry, especially small landholders and the

village poor.

One of the basic aims of the newly established political press was to inform and educate its readers in the broadest possible terms. The program Noviny Liny dovensky outlined in its first issue was typical of existing editorial policies. The article first stressed the need to develop journalism to the highest possible level, then continued: "We [the Czech nation] do not have books about politics, about the national economy, about jurisprudence, etc. It is the periodical which has to fill this gap and to instigate our men of letters to concern themselves with providing the nation with a nutritious diet so that it may learn everything about the most important things in life." <sup>10</sup> It also was this paper which reprinted Mikhail Bakunin's famous "Appeal to the Slav peoples of a Russian patriot" written first in French at the end of 1848. <sup>11</sup>

The liberal wing of the middle class, led by Palacky and Havlicek, concerned itself primarily with the unity of the Czechs and their equality with the German-speaking population, all to be brought about under a constitutional monarchy. In short, theirs was a program of Austro-Slavism which supported the continuation of the Austrian Empire, but in a federalized form, as the unifying power in Central Europe. The radical democrats generally agreed with the liberals on the question of national unity, but went further in their demands for human rights and independent status outside Habsburg rule. They also sought the abolition of all privileges of the nobility and, being interested in social questions, advocated the removal of all remaining forms of feudalism.

The newly founded Czech papers generally followed the same course during the revolutionary movement. This was to laud and defend gains already made by the Czechs in the cultural area and to demand further opportunities for broadening their influence in political and economic fields. There existed basic differences among the papers, however, stemming from three interrelated factors: the diverse political attitudes of their editors, the varying interpretations of existing problems and possible solutions, and the different views expressed by the political groups with which the papers were associated.

At the onset of the movement, Havlicek supported the basic stance of the liberals and was their main publicist, even if he did not totally agree with their program. He, like Palacky, was primarily a humanist and realist who envisioned his main task to be that of leadership and education, not enticement. As Prof. Hans Kohn has written: "In Palacky and Havlicek the Czechs probably had at their disposal in the 1840s a leadership with a greater sense of reality, for sober facts and truthful restraint than that of any other continental people." <sup>12</sup>

But despite the efforts by such men as Palacky and Havlicek, and the high hopes and ideals expressed during the surging wave of nationalism, the revolution and accompanying concessions granted by the administration in Vienna were short-lived. Ten days after the first Pan-Slav Congress, which assembled in the Boher capital on June 2, 1848, and called for the restoration of Po and national rights for the Slav peoples, revolutionary demonstrations were staged in Prague by young radicals. The demonstrations, led by students, precipitated the military occupation of the city, which, for all intents and purposes, ended all hopes for a political union of the Bohemian Crown lands and brought instead the political darkness that descended upon the Czechs and Slovaks for the ensuing decade.

Nonetheless, Havlicek continued to pursue his efforts and, especially, his self-imposed challenge to educate his countrymen politically even after many of his colleagues retreated from the political arena. Believing that the liberals had abandoned the struggle and, in effect, betrayed the people, he launched a personal campaign against the return of the status quo and in defense of human rights, freedom of expression above all.

With these changes in the political atmosphere, a serious effort was made by the Austrian authorities to suppress the opposition press. This was already evident in the decree of March 13, 1849, issued only a year after the abolition of censorship, which included as one of its main provisions the mandatory payment of a deposit, *kaution*, by all political publications. The *kaution* was to assure payment of any future fines which might be levied against a paper or periodical. And although Havlicek was among the few publishers who managed to secure the deposit by the May 4 deadline, the provision served its purpose well in that it forced

many political papers to cease publication or, as in the case of *Bohemia*, to become non-political.<sup>13</sup>

This was followed in June by the suspension of Narodni noviny for an indefinite period by the military regime in Prague, an action which Haylicek appealed successfully before the Ministry of the Interior in Vienna. But his victory was brief, for the daily was suspended permanently six months later. Undaunted, Haylicek founded Slovan (The Slav), which he began publishing May 8, 1850.

Issued twice weekly, the 32-page paper was printed in Kutna Hora and distrikuted by rail to other centers in the Czech lands. The popularity and influence of Slovan can perhaps best be seen in the Austrian reame's decision to publish a paper in direct opposition to it. Called Vidensky dennik (Vienna Daily), the paper was staffed, ironically, by some writers who had worked for Havlicek's Narodni noviny. The government paper strove to dilute Slovan's influence and nationalistic appeal by attempting to show that it, too, was concerned with Czech problems. But the conciliatory approach adopted by the government daily proved repugnant to Czech readers. The paper's efforts, therefore, failed to diminish the popularity of Havlicek's new paper.

After only five weeks of publication, *Slovan*, which often included witty and biting articles, was banned in Prague and two other urban centers. It was merely a question of time before this last press symbol of Gzech opposition was to be silenced.

The imperial decree of July 6, 1851, initiated a system of warnings. A publication would cease operation after receiving three notices indicating displeasure with its content. After Havlicek was presented with two such warnings, he published the last issue of *Slovan* in August, 1851, thus denying the Austrian authorities the satisfaction of effecting the closure. In his farewell commentary, appropriately titled "The End!" he explained his decision: "... the course of independent, political, truly liberal and national journalism is now for a time at least closed in Austria. And where pure power rules, which according to whim and for everyday use makes its own laws, there the possibility for oppositional journalism ceases to exist." 15

By silencing *Slovan*, the Austrian regime had successfully suppressed the Czech revolutionary press. As an added safeguard

against its reappearance, the decree of May 27, 1852, included provisions for licensing of the press and increased the required kaution introduced earlier. Toward the end of the year, only two Czech- and six German-language papers were being published in Prague, all obediently adhering to the administration's policies. In Moravia the main Czech newspaper, Moravske noviny (Moravian News), was also under government control.

Meanwhile, a concerted effort had been made by the administration in Vienna, headed by Minister of the Interior Alexander Bach, 16 to bring to trial political activists of the revolutionary movement, many of whom were journalists. This included such leading figures as Havlicek, exiled in 1851, and Arnold, Knedlhans, Vincenc Vavra and Karel Sabina, the latter four having been associated with radical democratic publications. They were sentenced to lengthy prison terms but released later under various amnesties. Havlicek, however, was not allowed to return from his Tyrolean exile until 1855, and then only to die.

Within three years after the upsurge of Czech poliournalism, then, its major organs were silenced and is ading a seither had withdrawn voluntarily or been forcibly and pournalistic activity. In 1857 the regime even reinstated the issuance tax—it had been in effect prior to March, 1848—which exacted a special duty for every issue of a paper or periodical printed. This raised prices of the few existing publications and discouraged large circulations.

Despite its brief duration, the journalism the revolutionary movement had engendered was truly idealistic and one which the people would not forget. The journalistic character and temperament of the time can best be summed up by the simple but direct words Havlicek used to describe the purpose and role of the press: "Newspapers...should have a timely interest; aside from [presenting] foreign news they should be primarily an organ and chronicler of all that is happening in our nation. They should assist the good and shame the bad and become a kind of conscience of the entire nation." 17

The first attempts to develop a national press had failed in a short time, but the foundation which Havlicek and his contemporaries had laid served as a model for future generations of journalists.

As the force of reaction subsided and Bach's absolutism, whose mainstay had been military force and police terror, proved untenable and financially ruinous to the monarchy, public life in the Empire began to be rejuvenated. Old associations were revived and new ones organized, while virtually everything under public discussion was soon brought into a political context. Change seemed imminent and in the Czech lands an atmosphere of fresh hope and new ideas prevailed. By the end of the 1850s, only journalism failed to keep pace with the new upsurge; Prague had but one Czech-language daily, the administration's Prazske noviny, with a circulation of 2,000. The combined press run of the four leading German papers in the city was close to 14,000. Understandably, the Czech leaders yearned for a national political daily.

Several attempts had been made to get permission to publish such a newspaper, but all had been denied by the authorities in Prague. An 1859 amendment to the existing press law cased some of the restrictions, however, encouraging frontisek L. Rieger, Palacky's son-in-law and close the authorities in the authorities in the restrictions, however, encouraging frontisek L. Rieger, Palacky's son-in-law and close the authorities in the authorities in the restrictions, however, encouraging frontisek L. Rieger, Palacky's son-in-law and close the authorities in the restrictions, however, encouraging frontisek L. Rieger, Palacky's son-in-law and close the authorities in the restrictions, however, encouraging frontisek L. Rieger, Palacky's son-in-law and close the authorities in the restrictions, however, encouraging frontisek L. Rieger, Palacky's son-in-law and close the authorities in the restrictions. The authorities in the restrictions is a second to the restrictions of the restrictions and close the authorities in the restrictions. The restrictions is also account to the existing press law cased some of the restrictions, however, encouraging frontisek L. Rieger, and the restrictions is a second to the restrictions of the restrictions and the restrictions are restricted in the restrictions of the restrictions are restricted in the restriction of the restrictions are restricted in the restriction of the r

Rieger's request was denied, but his petition received wide publicity because it was printed abroad and clandestinely distributed among the Czechs. Realizing that a Czech press could not be stalled forever, the central administration finally granted concessions in 1860 for two Czech newspapers. There was good reason for this seeming generosity. By permitting two papers, the regime limited the potential influence of each, paving the way for press competition and political rivalry.

The first concession was granted to Alois Krasa, who turned to the nobility for support and financial assistance so that his daily, Cas (Time), first published in October, 1860, was subject to its influence and soon became the voice of the upper class. The second concession, indirectly a response to Rieger's earlier request, was obtained by Julius Granta and academician and ardent nationalist. Considered into presive to the regime because of his

limited political involvement, Gregr had been chosen to seek the concession by a group of Czech leaders, including Palacky, Rieger and Gregr's brother Edvard. This group founded Narodni listy (National Pages), the first long-lived Czech daily, which initially appeared on New Year's Day, 1861, and lasted until 1941.

Cas at first attracted several writers from among the radical democrats because they believed that Krasa would use his publication to support Czech federalism against German centralism, the burning issue of the period. When this assumption proved false, they walked out and under Vavra's leadership began publishing their own/daily, Hlas (The Voice), on January 2, 1862. Concurrently, permission was granted for the publication of the Germanlanguage/daily Die Zeit.

After only three years of publication, however, *Hlas* merged with *Narodni listy* of which, in the meantime, Gregr had gained control. The union came about, oddly enough, because Vavra and Gregr had been confined in the same prison, the latter for his attacks against the Austrian Minister of State, the former for refusing to name one of his contributors. The two editors met frequently in the prison yard during exercise sessions and Vavra, the more radically inclined, was able to convince Green at Czech politics should become more progressive and aimed toward a wider segment of society. <sup>19</sup>

The official transaction occurred on January 1, 1865, but before the merger could take effect, Narodni listy was suspended for two months; so Hlas became its substitute organ during the intervening period. The merger represents one of the most significant events of Czech journalism during this formative period because members of the Hlas staff were joined Narodni listy became its future pride and helped shape the cally into a leading tribunal of Czech politics. Furthermore the paper's staff and frequent contributors included many major tigures of Nineteenth-century Czech literature and arts. Poet him Neruda, composer Bedrich Smetana and writers Syatopluk Cech Vitezslav Halek and Ignat Hermann were among the individuals involved. Their articles, commentaries, essays and feuilletons helped to extendish journalism as a leading forum of culture and politics.

To understand journalism's role more door, one must be aware of the condition in which the C. The greed from the

destructive era of Bach's absolutism. The revolutionary movement of 1848 had solidified national consciousness, but it failed to realize its most important aim—the creation of an autonomous Czech and Slovak state within the Empire which would have afforded this newly realized nationalism full expression. Only Hungary, of which the Slovak lands were a part, managed to secure such a status with the creation of the Dual Monarchy (Austro-Hungarian Empire) in 1867. As a result, the Magyars continued to oppress the politically impotent Slovaks, shattering any hope of a union between the Czechs and Slovaks. Not until the first decade of this century, with the founding of the Slovak National Party which was strongly Catholic in character and led by a priest, did the Slovaks manage to become a significant political force.

Adding to the frustration was the absence of representation by the Czechs in the Vienna Parliament until 1879 when they abandoned the unproductive policy of boycotting the Reichsrat. Thus, until the 1880s the Czechs existed without any official political forum and lacked any semblance of a national life. The press, therefore, became their only tribunal and their culture (of which journalism was an integral part) the only real evidence of their existence as a nation.

From its difficult beginnings, marked by repeated suspensions, heavy fines and the frequent arrest of its editor, Narodni listy stood at the forefront of resistance to Austrian rule and German centralism. It was regarded as the main organ of a generally united national party which, while including representatives of varying political hues, was reinforced by the belief that only unity would strengthen its cause and benefit the nation's future course. Soon, however, two distinct political viewpoints predominated, and it was only a matter of time before the union split into opposing political camps—the Young Czechs (radical nationalists) and the Old Czechs (moderates), the latter being headed by Palacky and Rieger.

As Gregr's daily aligned itself more frequently with the opposition, the Old Czech Party needed a press of its own. In December, 1863, it began publishing Narod (The Nation), whose responsible editor<sup>21</sup> was Vilem Kienberger and later Frantisek Simacek. But the paper's strength was Palacky, who published in its pages some

of his most profound writings on the question of centralism, dualism and federalism. He still believed that the only proper course was for Austria to become a federalized state with its component nationalities having equal rights. His ideal was shattered, however, with the creation of the Dual Monarchy in 1867 in which only Hungary was afforded a degree of equality within the Empire. Meanwhile, Gregr's paper continued to express the platform of the Young Czechs. After independence it became the central organ of the group's successor, the National Democratic Party.

Concurrent with these internal political developments was a gradual change in the laws and practices regulating the press. The first major sign in this respect was the press law of December, 1862, which went into effect March 9 of the following year. Its most relevant proviso was the transfer of the press from political jurisdiction, namely the police administration, to that of the courts of law, thus providing the press with a greater degree of legal protection. The new law also abolished some of the arbitrary preventive measures which previously had been employed against the press, including the system of warnings and concessions. Finally, it dissolved the censorship commission (Bucherrevision) attached to the main customs office in Prague.

But many restrictions still remained, enabling the authorities to maintain close control over the Czech press, especially papers and periodicals which expressed opposition views. In fact, the period between 1868 and 1870 has been described as one during which the Czech political press experienced some of its worst oppression. This included threats, confiscations of editions, fines, persecution of editors, disallowing of newsstands and suspension of publications.<sup>23</sup>

The Czech press, therefore, was politically oriented not only in internal politics but also in its oppositional activities against the regime. Through this struggle, it acquired new skills and learned to survive under a repressive rule. The regulatory system within which it functioned was maintained until the end of the Nineteenth century, although the press was gradually freed from some of the more restrictive measures. This can be seen, for instance, in the reestablishment in 1869 of press jury courts (abolished in 1849), nullification of the advertising tax in 1874, discontinuation

of the burdensome *kaution* in 1894 and, finally, removal of the issuance tax five years later.

Each improvement in conditions stimulated growth not only of the political press but of literary, economic and professional publications as well. But the rise of political journalism dominated public life as new political ideas and doctrines stimulated new movements which relied heavily on their publications to disseminate their respective causes and programs. During the 1880s, for example, the Social Democrats and their priodical publications gained a foothold in the Czech lands, while during the ensuing decade the press of the Agrarians began to flourish. Universal suffrage, introduced during 1907 in Austria, provided added impetus to the growth of political parties, intensifying both political awareness and political journalism.

It was during this period of growth that Lidove noviny (People's News) was founded by Adolf Stransky (1893). Published in Brno, Moravia, it rose within a 40-year span from a provincial paper to a leading national daily, becoming the acknowledged voice of the nt Wantsia and one of the hold olitically independent Czechlang age dailies.

At the beginning of the Twentieth century, 366 newspapers and periodicals which were classified as political were being published in the Czech lands. This included both Czech- and German-language publications regularly printed at least once a month. By 1916 the number had increased to 598, while the year prior to World War I saw 702 political newspapers and periodicals published out of a total of 1,946. This meant that more than one-third (36.1 percent) of all papers and periodicals published in the Czech lands just prior to the war were political in nature. In terms of the daily press (papers published 5 to 7 times a week), the number increased from 37 in 1900 to 45 a decade later, and reached 59 by the end of 1913. In the content of the end of 1913.

On the eve of World War I, then, the Gzechs were more politically sophisticated and better organized than ever before, and were bent on gaining recognition as equals within the Austro-Hungarian Empire.

Although the press tended to be political, the grewing expansion in literacy prompted a noticeable increase in cultural material in the popular print media, as attested by the leading writers and

poets who contributed to prominent publications. This tradition, started during the pre-1848 period and carried over by such writers as Neruda and Cech, was continued by various literary figures, including J. S. Machar and Viktor Dyk, and later by Karel Capek and Eduard Bass. These writers not only enriched the nation's literary wealth but also aided in establishing and maintaining high standards in journalism.

The influence of literature on the nation's press may be seen in the diverse genres which men of letters have brought to it. Unlike newspapers in the United States, for instance, the Czech and Slovak popular press absorbed (and continues today to employ) many literary forms including the feuilleton, essay and sketch. Thus, during the formative years, the press saw its task as not only to inform but also to serve as an agent of politics, exaction retain sent and literature.

As early 1877, the task of maintaining professionalism in the field was accepted as a responsibility by the pioneering Organization of Czech Journalists. The impetus for its founding, however, was the recognized need for an official body to help journalists and their families in times of hardship. During the first quarter of this century, several other journalist groups emerged, of which the most prominent was the Syndicate of Prague Journalists established in 19. 1. It became the Syndicate of Czechoslovak Journalists in 1926 and three years later had more than 600 members. Other smaller professional journalism groups were united through their political or ethnic ties.

#### Nationhood and the Interwar Period

The crisis brought on by prospects of war in 1914 found the Czechs uncertain and divided in opinion as to their future. Some feared the loss of the basic unity gained through the struggle of preceding years, while others questioned the permanency of the Austro-Hungarian Empire. Members of radical parties, meanwhile, began to appeal again to the historic rights of the old Bohemian Crown lands and, with the support of some National Socialists and Realists, proposed a separation from the Empire.<sup>28</sup> This public

mobilization and the tensions of war.

Most of the activities which ultimately resulted in the creation of an independent Czechoslovakia on October 28, 1918, took place not inside the country but abroad (mainly in Britain, France and the United States) under the leadership of Tomas G. Masaryk and his protege, Edvard Benes. There existed inside the country, nevertheless, a desire for autonomy and self-determination (growing ever stronger as the war programmanifested itself only in the form of this sentiment manifested itself only in the form of the factor of the internal discipline and moral fortitude in the factor of the ingarian oppression.

Such efforts usually are most effective when guided by cohesive leadership. At first the Czech popular press tended to be either pro-Austrian or noncommitted, primarily as a result of the imposition of severe restrictions including rigid censorship, a ban on political publications and intimidation of journalists and writers. During 1917, however, the previously silent or compliant Czech press took a stand and, utilizing purposeful yet noninflammatory language, spoke out in favor of nationhood, thus assuming a leadership role.<sup>29</sup> The press' example was followed by succeeding generations of writers during periods of national crisis.

Coinciding with this renewed activism was the publication of a writers' manifesto. Penned by poet-dramatist Jaroslav Kvapil and novelist Alois Jirasek, the May 1917 document openly repudiated a proclamation of loyalty to the Empire issued by leaders of nine Czech political parties. Although extracted under duress by Foreign Minister Count Ottokar Czernin, the proclamation nonetheless caused considerable embarrassment to Czech leaders negotiating with Allied Powers for recognition of an independent Czechoslovakia. However, the writers' manifesto, which called for a "democratic Europe, a Europe of autonomous and independent nations," soon became accepted as the true expression of the national will and helped solidily the internal struggle for an end to Austro-Hungarian domination.

Masaryk, who was to become Czechoslovakia's first president, attested to the effectiveness of the nation's press during this period when he wrote: "In our case leadership was supplied by the press, particularly those journals which, with tactical skill, with-

sinking spirits, using language incomprehensible to the enemy though comprehensible to every Czech."<sup>31</sup> Later, Masaryk was to tell Capek: "Throughout my life I've been closely associated with the literary organ of politics: the newspaper. Even today [1926-27] I should probably have been a journalist if I hadn't another job"<sup>32</sup> (emphasis added). A professor of philosophy and sociology before turning to politics, Masaryk had acquired a sound journalistic background as political analyst, critic and editor.

Due to his prominent role in the national struggle, the writer-journalist, sometimes called "the heart and brain of the nation," became an influential force in the life of the young Republic "The Czechs have never had many writers who wrote only to entertain. Politics and literature had gone hand in hand in their tradition, and their major figures kept on crossing the borderline between writing and politics." 33

While press freedom, including the prohibition of prior restraint, was guaranteed in the new nation's constitution, the press law of 1923 provided for legal restrictions on the press during crises or in cases involving the advocacy of measures threatening the constitution, a republican form of government, public order or the office of the President.<sup>34</sup> If a court determined that a publication was repeatedly violating the law, for instance, it could be banned for one month in the case of a daily, and up to six months for other publications. When political extremism from both the left and right later threatened the nation's stability, the penalty was changed to include curtailment of distribution or the imposition of prior censorship.

The press law was part of a series of statutes aimed at preventing the reinstitution of the monarchy while safeguarding against social disorder and revolution. And although traditional immunities were granted members of Parliament, they did not extend to members who also were publishers or editors in cases involving libel or incitement to perform illegal acts. 35

Czechoslovakia was not spared the problems faced by a newly independent nation. Perhaps the most obvious and, in the end, most consequential proved to be the minorities question, particularly the Czech-Slovak dichotomy. Rooted in the divergence of historical evolution, the conflict was evident on three levels: 1)



blend between industry and agriculture, the Slovak almost solely on agriculture; 2) dissimilarity in cultural development, and 3)

religious influence of varying intensity.

Fearful of being dominated by the Czech majority, the Slovaks demanded certain autonomous rights after independence, including local administration, education and courts of law. After the exuberance of nationhood had subsided, Slovak leaders made demands for greater Slovak autonomy until the German occupation in May, 1939, when Slovakia, under the Third Reich's tutelage, became an independent Republic.

The minorities problem was further exacerbated by the other national groups living within Czechoslovakia: Germans, Hungarians, Poles and Ruthenians. Moreover, the nation was recovering from a major war during its formative years and later from a depression while engaged in solving the problems of self-government. Amidst such birth pains, a new press developed which soon found itself torn between party allegiance and social responsibili-

The fall of the Austro-Hungarian Empire brought not only independence to Czechoslovakia but also a reorientation in politics. The ethnically fragmented population led to a proliferation of political parties, which divided the dailies, and to a lesser extent the periodical press, along partisan lines. Thus independent and privately owned newspapers and journals continued to be the exception rather than the rule. Also, nationhood stimulated an increasing interest in both domestic and foreign political news. It was common practice to read one's own party or ethnic press, usually published in one of the major cities such as Prague, Bratislava or Brno. Yet despite its partisan character, the national press in large part avoided sensationalism and provided its readers with a wide variety of domestic and foreign news, <sup>36</sup> editorials and commentaries. Nor did the daily press neglect cultural, entertainment and sports articles or special features.

One of the leading dailies of the First Republic was the aforementioned Lidove noviny, which by the 1930s enjoyed a circulation of 100,000 in a nation with a total population of 13.5 million. Its chief assets were political independence (although it championed the left-of-center view) and the ability of its owners,

24

various fields. Before 1939, articles and commentaries appeared under such names as Josef Capek and his brother Karel, both authors and playwrights, the latter probably the internationally best known Czech literary figure; Eduard Bass, novelist and satirist; Jaroslav Stransky, owner-editor, law professor and member of Parliament; Ferdinand Peroutka, prominent journalist; and Hubert Ripka, foreign affairs specialist and post-World War II Minister of Foreign Trade. The daily also followed closely the political and cultural life of Europe, particularly Great Britain, through its stringers and periodic sojourns abroad by its editors. Its pages included articles by English statesmen, such as Winston Churchill, and translations of English novels.<sup>37</sup>

Other important dailies of the interwar period were Venkov (Countryside), the Agrarian Party's chief organ founded in 1906; Ceske slovo (Czech Word), founded the following year as spokesman of the National Socialists; Narodni politika (National Politics), unattached conservative publication launched in 1883; and Pravo lidu (People's Right), started in 1893 and eventually the Social Democrats' official voice.

Of the post-1918 political dailies, the most notable were Rude pravo (Red Right), founded in 1920 and soon after the central organ of the Communist Party, and Lidove listy (People's Pages), started in 1922 as the voice of the Catholic Populist Party.

The press became very much a part of the daily life of the country. Its citizens had a propensity to glorify journalism because of the many prominent writers and statesmen associated with the field. As one American observer wrote: "The political creation of Professors Thomas G. Masaryk and Eduard Benes received the breath of national life through the instrumentality of propaganda, and now the nation is seeking to perpetuate itself through diligent encouragement of the press and printed word." 38

The importance of the print media may also be seen in the government's interest in its development. In 1928, for instance, the nation's budget included an appropriation of 750,000 Kcs. (some \$25,000 with a purchasing power of double that amount) for the establishment of a journalism school on the gymnasium level. By 1930 nearly 4,000 newspapers and periodicals were published in Ezechoslovakka, including 115 dailies, 423 weeklies

tion's capital and publishing center, printed approximately offethird of the nation's newspapers and periodicals.

The importance of the political press may be understood in light of the large number of political parties that emerged after independence. During the 1920, 1925 and 1929 parliamentary elections no less than 49 parties and splinter groups campaigned for seats in the Senate and National Assembly. Although a majority of the parties appeared during the campaign never to be heard from again, others took their place. By 1935, however, the practice of consolidating political strength left only 16 parties to campaign in the last free election prior to the war.

As a rule, 13 parties held seats in Parliament, and the cabinet was composed of individuals representing from four to seven of them. Generally, the government's political base remained unchanged during the First Republic with power resting in the coalition known as the *Petka* (quintet), made up of the Agrarian, National Democratic, National Socialist, Populist and Social Democratic parties.<sup>42</sup>

The Communist Party of Czechoslovakia, founded in 1921, was not outlawed, as was the case in other newly created East European states, but participated openly in the nation's political life and developed an active press. It gained some popular support and a respectable membership, which by 1927 had reached 138,000. But after becoming increasingly Bolshevized, 43 especially after 1929 with the ascendancy of a new radical leadership under Klement Gottwald, membership declined to 40,000 in 1931. 44 The growing threat of Hitler's Germany allowed the KSC to recoup some of its losses by joining with the nation's democrats in the United Front movement against fascism.

While the KSC enjoyed privileges guaranteed to all political parties, the government was not always tolerant of the extremist policies and programs the Party promoted during the early 1930s. The Communist press was frequently censored and the Party's representatives in Parliament, whose immunity was readily lifted, were jailed for brief periods of time. 45 Censorship and confiscation of Communist publications were implemented under the 1923 press law. This was also the case with the militant press of the Slovak and German extremist groups which came into promi-

nence during the critical depression years and intensified with Hitler's rise to power.

President Benes, succeeding Masaryk who had retired in 1935, attempted to keep the government and nation united during the mounting crisis. But the Fascist onslaught, both from within and without, plus pressures from other nationalistic groups and the Communists continued. As a protective measure, Parliament empowered the President to suppress, at his own discretion, newspapers and periodicals as well as political parties voicing extremist positions. That act sounded the death knell for press freedom in Czechoslovakia. Even pro-government publications which attacked Fascist policies and actions were censored lest their anti-German commentaries provoke unfavorable reaction among "peacemakers" abroad. The tension continued until Austria fell into Hitler's hands.

This event was followed by the Munich Agreement of September 1938. From that time onward, for all intents and purposes, the First Republic ceased to exist. Benes and many other national leaders resigned and eventually went into exile, mostly to London and Moscow, and the nation was dismembered and parcelled out among Germany. Poland and Hungary. In March, 1939, Bohemia-Moravia became a rotectorate of the Third Reich and the Czech press, what remained it, was taken over by German occupation forces and controlled by the Propaganda Ministry.

# The Communist Party Press

ALTHOUGH Rude pravo was first published on September 21, 1920, the daily did not become the leading organ of Czechoslovakia's Communist Party until some eight months later when the Party itself was officially organized. At first the paper served as the chief voice of the Czechoslovak Social Democratic Party's left wing, or so-called Marxist left, which subsequently adopted the 21 conditions of membership of the Communist International and proclaimed itself the Communist Party of Czechoslovakia<sup>47</sup> during the Party's Inaugural Congress in Prague May 14-16, 1921. Two days later, Rude pravo marked the occasion by including in its masthead for the first time the designation "Central organ of the Communist Party of Ezechoslovakia."

Although this formal split between the two main fact. Ins of the Social Democratic Party (CSSD) occurred nearly four lears after the Bolshevik Revolution, the Revolution nevertheless had an immediate impact on virtually all Social Democrats, particularly those who spent the war years in Russia, most of them as prisoners of war. As early as 1917 they organized their own party under the leadership of Alois Muna. The following May it was named the Czechoslovak Communist Party in Russia and its committees became secondary organs of the Russian Communist Party. Financed by the Soviet leadership in Moscow, the Czechoslovak group published several newspapers, including the central organ Prukopnik svobody. (Pioneer of Freedom) and its successor Pravda (Truth), and was generally active in supporting the Bolshevik cause.

This activity abroad led directly to the reorientation of the CSSD following the war. Two movements soon emerged within its ranks: the moderate wing, which remained loyal to the ideals and

basic politics of the Social Democrats, and the Marxist left, which adopted more radical tactics and adhered to the theory of the dictatorship of the proletariat. As was the case with most European Social Democratic parties during the immediately split them in body to join the international Communist movement.

irounding the CSS 11th Congress Meanwhile, events -<sub>x</sub> 25-28, 1920 he mounting (scheduled for Sept crisis to a climax. election of des cal CSSD hat the leftist view wvail, which branches made it cl the Commeant, in effect, th Congress would app: 🗟 munist International. To forestall such actio tive Committee (in which moderates held a majority postpone the Congress and, moreover, declared that axin ovalty to Comintern was discordant with membership al Demothat such cratic Party. Although committee member measures meant splitting and thus weal CSSD, the moderate leaders believed it to be the o maintain control and, at the same time, keep the CSS democratic character.49

The Executive Committee's action was for by a spontaneous meeting of Prague workers's expressed their opposition by organizing their mittee and seizing the Social Democratic Pacheadquarters. The People's Center, as it was the editorial offices of Pravo lidu and the Cs Along with this move, the Center's personn council (consisting primarily of the daily's placed the paper's editor-in-chief, Josef Stiving direct supervision.

The imposition of what amounted to ceen Stivin and publisher designate Antonin Named offices and transfer their Operation to the left

ne next day statives who action comministrative oused both atting plant, d their own mbers) and grate, under

prompted acate their g house of

Eduard Beaufort. Joined by other members of the moderate faction, they published their acts issue of Prave lidu in the new facilities on September 19, 1990. Smeral and his staff, meanwhile, continued to publish their valion of the paper which on September 18 and 19 appeared maser the name Stare Provo lidu (The Old People's Right).

The turnfoil over the same the puper's name was resolved, at the invistor re of the movements, in a district court which ruled g it to find a new name for its agains) the Marxist 1 publication. The of chose the name Rude pravo. en also forced its staff to vacate the .... Shortly the mafter, a returned to the C SD's Executive People's Center which the history of Czech and dials Com-Thus be Comr may of which to newly named daily is cannot be chief munis prototype. voice

such vexations by an Fr uphil. struggle to compete readers and to gain foll which Its meet damaging liab  $\mathbb{C}_{123} \times \mathbf{W}^{r}$ appearance and conten-.f.e ( style and a continobserved that lest a too closely. Com conservatism in m 1 1 th Agitprop function.

Nevertheless the USU did including many writ and L members on, or wer equen periodicals. Many in ect: profissed internation sm ...: cultural relations d .11 Czec :oslovakia. 54 engt, are being recently tree of a intell ctuals believ 1 ideological mantle: who of

Un der Comintert und mick vized, thus adopting the same accentrated durir and the system Karlin." as the CAD pross called them, a group of young func-

ale Communist presentation an rest of the nation's ograms proposed by the KSC. its general drabness, both in ntern's growing influence on its inds.<sup>51</sup> A Czeich historian has a rs resemble the bourg ois press displayed a cortain sugree of writing style described by one is style."52

act a mass following initially,53 ary figures who-worked as staff intributors to, its newspapers and ere attracted to the Party by its adical socialism and favored the er the years between Russia and by their common experience of chical forms of rule. These leftist nism represented a large enough ring viewpoints.

wever, the KSC was soon Lolshearrow direction. The process was ise to power of "the boys from tionaries, intellectuals and workers headed by Gottwald. During the Party's Fifth Congress, convened February 18-23 of the same year, Gottwald was elected the proceed General Secretary and from then until his death in 1953 recognition of proceedings and leader.

Wich ... Party mistory, con. -The Fifth Longress, according tuted a watershed in the KS 2's meaned orientation. The many g followed closely to program adopted during the stormy go nunist novement. general id cological line of the worl articulated by the Soviet Uni n. Th anotio . a period c H s activ and revoluardent Bolshevization which gave to orking. s. The Mostionary character as the vanguard the Party's cow-oriented course thus established a 🗀 guide policies from then on.

The Congress also proclaimed Czecl akia imperialist state, thus launching the Part press on roplaga: da campaign m of governagainst the nation's democratic institutions J 51V ment. This was a key reason why the communication it press was frequently censored during the First Republic and idividual papers were suspended for as long as sign most about a me, forcing vals, illegal the KSC to publish them illegally. Laring issues of Rude pravo, for example, the pri Vienna and then smuggled into the country via Bin-T points. 55 suing year... Throughous the course of the interwar person then, the Communist Party regarded . Speries instrume in its propaganda against the nation's consuming n criticizi: .; the programs of major bourgeois partic

#### Structure and Organization

At the time of its founding, the KSC has a constablished press system, publishing 34 newspapers and some licals in the Czech lands alone (all former CSSD profit alone a oporting the Marxist left viewpoint). Sever were dadies, three in Czech and four in German: Rude pravo, Rovnost (Equal Conicky denik (Worker's Daily), Vorwarts, Der Kampher, atemationale and Volksstimme. The number of papers are a doctions increased until 1924 when 62 periodic publications were mediated on the

Party's press roster. After that year the number fluctuated but declined steadily until October, 1938, when the Communist press was outlawed in Czechoslovakia as a direct result of the Munich Agreement. 5th

The greatest difficulties were encountered by the Party's Slovak press section. In all tion to the restrictive political climate in Slovakia, limited to finical facilities and lack of financial assets soon forced the Slovak-language Party press to be published in the Czech cities of Morayska-Ostrava and Prague. Besides, most of the staff members on the CSSD's major newspaper in Slovakia, Robotnicke noviny (Workers' News), supported the moderate faction, which forced the Marxists to launch their own paper, the weekly Pravda chudoby (Truth of Poverty), on September 15, 1920, in Ruzomberk. The paper became a daily five years later after its name had been shortened to its present-day title, Pravda, and its operation moved to Cstrava.

Four years later P-avda again became a weekly, primarily for technical and financial reasons, but it remained the chief Slovak-language voice of the KSC, thus the central organ of the Slovak section, until its demise in 1935. During 1924-25, it added Sunday and Thursday supplements called Proletarska nedel'a (Proletarian Sunday) and Kosak (Sickle). Other periodic publications issued under the auspices of the KSC press committee in Slovakia included Hlas l'udu (People's Voice), Spartakus, Proletarka (Proletarian Woman) and the Hungarian-language Kassai Munkas (Kosice Worker). Of these, only the last named remained active during the 1930s. When suspended in 1936, the paper was replaced by Magyar Nap (Hungarian Day).

It also was the Slovak Communist press section which launched Gottwald on his career as a publicist and Party apparatchik. Between 1921 and 1923, Gottwald was active on several Slovak publications, including Pravda chudoby, to which he contributed articles on the mission of the Party press. Early in 1923 he was elected to the KSC's press committee in Slovakia and became a member of the Zilina district's Executive Committee. The following year, Gottwald was named responsible editor of Pravda chudoby and, as such, held the same post for all Slovak-language Communist publications until 1926, when he was transferred to Prague to head the Party's Agitprop Department as a member of

the Central Committee and 1.8 % atomro to which he has been elected the previous year. F8

During the 1920s the prime that of the Communist parts, as the Parts's main organization. Asstrument, which to prepare the masses, especially the language of the proletariat, for the double decisive battles for power. The country of the proletariat, for the double decisive battles for power. The country of the proletariat, for the double decisive battles for power. The country of the proletariat, for the double decisive battles for power. The country of the proletariat is an educated propagation of the prolitical decommon fronts. But the power is periodic publications at these medid not constitute a popular to less in the sense of attractions of idereadership.

In 1924, for instance to KeC reported its members of as 138,996 of which 89,498, and as than 65 percent of its members, said they subscribed to the party press. In the Frague district alone, the nation's published, which the situation was even gloomier. Only 8,542 or some 15 percent of 16,096 KSC members subscribed to Eude pravo. Oth districts reported states impressive subscription figure. There years later, the situation had grown even worse. Only 26.7 percent of the membership, which then totaled 138,000, subscribed to at least the Communist mally, while 18 percent did not subscribe to any Party publication. This shortcoming made the press subject to frequent criticism by both Party and Comintern leaders.

Although publications such as Pravda and Rude pravo are designated as "mass newspapers," in this instance one must interpret mass to mean "not the number of issues printed but the fact that they were directed toward the masses and produced in accordance with their active participation." During the interwar period, Communist dailies as a rule had circulations well below the 100,000 mark, the evening and Sunday editions being the most widely read.

From its very beginning, the press was directed toward centralization and consolidation stramming from the need to create a more effective mass medium and, at the same time, to lessen the financial burden on the Party. The crystallizing of Party programs and objectives, evident on the pages of Party publications, also reflected the continual infighting taking place among the various factions, a struggle in which Gottwald's radical-revolutionary wing emerged as a powerful force during discussions preceding the Party's Fifth Congress, and was totally vactorious at its conclusion.

he crisis was in the analy brought on by the change, header-Linization of the Commun maje st prompted tien demands for constatement and incr to new lead white the strengthen its propaganda mission. emity 6 OF. confirmity was caused in large wart his Corntrend tow. thich also its actions were being assigned sively 1 pressure e 1929 Januarly 1930s. The in uend of the rated in th sect of mest was considerable during this a, since "It ie ba in the e for the work of the don- $\xi_{-1} \cong$ st press with the line and de Comintern."61

Huence is provided: the transfethis concrete coample e me of the Stanislav Budin who was a two h first volume of the 2.235, held the post of mixtor-in list, 1934. Line De l'inberwhite, for instance in - Hitary i of Rude or .o. let between Cermin viam Lzech oslovalkia would 1.3. ... iust fied on the bana dense in imperia at war," a nation and that the more smusts therefore should a rt the are inside their country. Even mas ing of a sing mile we are merr who told him the such a sted by a littbur ne KSC; it must come at Mc -.ssion cant . come ...on from Com

pro , the KSC leadurship decided . centraliz used system of satelli apers ollos actico more 1321 to man, would serve as the is for  $R_{1,C}$ whereby the mill pages other newspaper. Initiant one practice was employed rural regions. But as fir metal as dipolitical difficulties volunted, the system was also ap and to mentiously separate Communist dailles in major cities. This meant that, although mastheads were changed to or wide a semblance of continuity, all but one of the pages were in a heal in anient. The one open or "mutat on " page was reserved an local new and Flumy information.

Thus after 1955 the EasC in assence published only care main duries: Rude pravo, Perrot halme and Magyar and The Party's evening daily not an anal Party publication, sa. Halonot by (Hello News) which replied a Rudy vo. creak kee Nachtly) when the latter was discontinued in 1934 due to uncocial difficulties. The lively with information Halosnovico continued publica-

tion until 1938 and at times found itself at odds with the official Party press.

The permanent staff of Finde promo (as well as other Communist dailies) was so it, ranging from eight to twelve member. In Budin's time, it instance, the editorial department onsists a of eight writers and two or three typists. The major expartment were yeth an culture, social affairs, momestic politics, for an affairs and sports, although these areas and the division of more among them we have constant. "In this editorial of lice, because the staff was small, everyone wrote what he considered it at tant," and in the ted. "There were no realousies or bard feedings amongst its members." The paper's main sections also matted according to the Party immediate needs and often refinited themes stressed by Comin ern departments.

As chief editor. Budin had daily (usually inform more angwith or not severa. Party leaders who provided the general total call direction of the paper. The staff a turn held more contourned meetings to decide what type of article(s) each member would write for the next day's edition. Rude pravo was published maily except Monday and, as was the case with most central organic of Comintern's somions, provided ample space for Comintern directives and moorts as well as for Russian writers and other prominent for an Communists. Such materials, depending upon their important were received in the editorial offices via telephone, telegraper, special dispatches of Comintern mublicate as such as the International Press Correspondence.

For financial as well as likeological reasons, the main Communist dealies subscribed to only a portion of the services provided by the Czechoslovak News Agency (CTK) so that their major source, for foreign news were Pravda (Moscow), the French Communist Early L'Humarine and a ccasional dispatches filed by Communist jourhalists abroad. As expected, foreign coverage concemtrated or the ontinued efforts, the Pa ty was never Soviet Union, Despite totally accessful in consolidating and contralizing as press. This the Fifth Congress who a newly formuwas esp cially true after detrimental effects on the Posty's poplated racical program plague it throughout the 1/30s. This was ularity that continued: most evident in the mationship between the King and leftist tters and journalists. intellect sals, especially

#### Conflict Between Press and Party

One of the central factors contributing to the many problems encountered by the KSC during this period was its increasing bureaucratism and dogmatism stemming from an intensified Bolshevizing of the Party. This led directly to the KSC's losing of popularity among the masses. The extensive influence of the Communist International on KSC affairs also affected the Party press, which was gradually fashioned into a spokesman for the Comintern, that is, Soviet foreign policy. This change in orientation was not readily accepted by many leftist intellectuals who tended to resist the restrictions and various political guidelines being imposed upon them. As a result, an exodus of KSC members occurred, some willingly, some by force. Those who failed to comply with Party directives were purged.

The split between the Party and members of the intelligentsia is exemplified by the literary figures and journalists referred to as "the group of seven writers." A month after the Fifth Congress, they published a manifesto in the non-Communist press opposing the new program and orientation pursued by the newly elected leadership. The writers' defiant action caused their immediate expulsion from the KSC without so much as a hearing. To understand the conflict between the Party and its press, a situation which has continued intermittently to the present day, it is helpful to explore the character of the press before and after the Fifth Congress.

Initially, the Communist press, in line with its Social Democratic origins, was written and edited in the style of a house organ in which policies were generally thrashed out in open polemics. This gave rise to situations where Rude pravo, for example, published articles which did not parallel the views expressed by the KSC's leading bodies. A case in point is the 1922-24 period when Filip Dobrovolny and Olbracht, both advocates of a action whose leading spokesmen had been deprived of important Party functions (though not of KSC membership) for disciplinary reasons, continued to be a major force on Rude pravo's staff and to express their views. 69

The opportunity for a certain degree of "independent" actions by Communist journalists was former indicated by Vojtean. Dolejsi, longtime Party publicist and anottonary, who wrote that although the first condition for Commutern membership stipulated that all Party publications and publishing houses be subordinate to the Central Committee, this was not interpreted to mean that the Committee would directly control the press. "The editorial staff, for which reliable comrades were sele ted, was well aware of the general line of the Party and its stance on cogent political questions, but the interpretation of this line the staff took upon viself-as it understood is wed as because it know how 500 (emphasis added). However, it was the very assue of enunciating and interpreting major policies over which elitorial offices and individual editors ran into conflict with Party and Comintern leaders. This was most evident in the critical articles appearing in Comintern publications such as the Communist international.71 Due to this independent attitude and the resultant criticism issued by Moscow, the KSC leadership under Got stald increased its vigilance over the Party press.

Between September, 1921, and November, 1928, for example, the top editorial post of Rude provo vas changed only three times, while during the following ten-year period the position changed hands no less than twellve times among nine individuals. And while one or two of these staff changes were necessitated by editors having to go into hiding to avoid arrest, at least two chief editors, Josef Guttman and Budin, were expelled from the Party for alleged deviations and sabotage. The latter's case is especially interesting because audin's journalistic career represents a good example of the link in tradition edisting between the interwar period and the refer many ement of the 1960s. The was active during both periods, directly involved in the struggle against the Party leadership's are strainness, inconsistency and dogmatism.

The tendency roward stricter control and insistence on obedience without question alienated many leftist intellectuals whose support for the Party had limits when it came to sectarian policies and dictatorial practices. This was expressed clearly in the manifesto of "the group of seven waters." They maintained that as active writers and journalists they could no longer remain silent about the Party's "suicid a and in impetent politics." The group accused the new leaders of creating "guinea pigs of paper revolutionariness" out of the workin people and of leading the Party into a

blind alley. "Take the initiative, demand a redress," the manifesto urged KSC members, "let Communists come into the Party leadership who desire unity and a mass Party, and the removal of fractional terrorism. Guide the Party so that the working masses will regain their faith in themselves and in the leadership which they themselves have elected."

Another factor playing a prominent part in the conflict was the question of the Party's influence in the realm of socialist culture which, after 1929, became more and more a part of KSC politics. This was closely linked to the concept of socialist realism being espoused through Comintern channels and it increasingly confronted individual Communist writers with the restrictive nature of Party policies.

The journalistic activities of staff members on major Communist publications were not limited to newspapers but frequently extended to the field of cultural politics in which there still existed at the time "a certain 'relative' degree of freedom of opinion." While the Party's central organ and its evening edition included articles and commentaries on the socialist culture theme, it was primarily *Tworba* (Creation), the KSC's official journal for politics and culture, and organs of the intellectually oriented Left Front movement which proved to be the main forum for the exchange of ideas along this theme. The literary forms and content included were not always consistent with official Party dicta and quite often in opposition to them. The Fifth Congress resolution stated that "Communists and Party organizations must maintain discipline even when the Party's leadership commits mistakes." Failure to adhere to this principle meant almost certain expulsion.

Despite its increased vigilance during the 1930s, the KSC leadership had difficulty maintaining control and discipline within its press.

During the December 1935 meeting of the Executive Committee of the Communist International, which followed Comintern's Seventh World Congress, problems within the KSC were discussed and performance of its press evaluated. Among those reporting at the meeting was Party functionary Rudolf Slansky who, according to Budin, stated that the Party's mest serious mistakes occurred in its press, especially Rude pravo and Halonoviny, both of which were staffed by intellectuals who were

turning away from the Party. Three months later, in an article in Communist International, Vaclav Kopecky, former chief editor of Rude pravo and KSC Politburo member, issued a diatribe against the paper in general and Budin in particular. The opening sentences provide an example of the content and tone of the article:

In consequence of the loss of revolutionary, Bolshevik vigilance, enemies of the Party wormed their way into the Rude pravo,... and tried, and to some extent were very successful, in making [sic] use of the central organ for the propaganda of alien ideas, hostile to Bolshevism, and contrary to the line of the Comintern and of its Seventh World Congress. Further, there were political errors in the Rude pravo,... which led, finally, to the exposure of Budin, the chief editor of the paper, and to his being driven out of the Party. 78

Following the mutual defense pact between Czechoslovakia and the Soviet Union, concluded in May, 1935, the KSC changed its stance on the issue of the defense of Czechoslovakia which, subsumed in the campaign to defend Soviet Russia, was suddenly proclaimed a just cause. This became a primary campaign of the Communist press during the remaining years of the First Republic when the Party also began to cooperate with Czechoslovakia's government on the issue of defense, despite Gottwald's disapproval and insistance that the class struggle must continue.

With the threat of fascism increasing in Europe, however, Communist press propaganda in Czechoslovakia tended to neglect the class struggle and concentrate instead on the prospects of war with Germany. This undertaking was marked by frequent references to the nation's historic rights and the use of nationalistic symbols and themes (anathema to the Communist concept of internationalism) until, in the end, the KSC became one of the most vocal defenders of the nation. And, despite the brief dilemma that the Soviet-German friendship pact presented to the Party, the KSC nonetheless regained some of its former mass support for an anti-Fascist stance which, in later years, the Party utilized to full advantage in its propaganda campaigns.

## Occupation and the Underground Press

The disintegration of the First Republic following the Munich Agreement of September 1938 also meant the end of the KSC's existence as a legal party in Czechoslovakia. For, following the flight into exile of President Benes and other top government leaders, but before the actual German invasion of Czechoslovakia on March 15, 1939, a strong authoritarian trend took hold inside the nation. Prior censorship had been introduced as early as September, 1938, but the frequency of white spaces in newspapers proved embarrassing to the new administration. The Ministerial Council's decree of December 6, 1938, therefore, initiated a more stringent supervision of the press by placing in each major newspaper concern a censor who checked all copy. Previously, first editions had to be submitted to the censor's office before circulation or mailing, leaving too little time to substitute acceptable for objectionable items.

Meanwhile, the E.SC had activated its underground network and commenced its ille. If activities, Throughout the war years, in the Protectorate of Benemia and Moravia at least, such endeavors focused on propaganda and the maintenance of an operative organizational network. Thus, during the occupation, "Party leadership was assumed at various times by four different central committees whose primary responsibilities were to assure the publication of Ruele pravo and to renew the organizational network torn by the most recent set of arreas." 180

The KSC was better prepared for its underground work than any other major political group inside the country because: 1) With its previous experience with pur ave measures, especially between 1930 and 1934, Party organizations were accustomed to publishing and distributing underground newspapers, leaflets and pamphlets; and in anticipation of being forcibly suppressed, the leadership had made provisions for continuing this work, including setting up underground printing facilities and distribution networks. 2) During Russo-German pact years, the Party was relatively free of persecution by German authorities, which enabled the KSC to fortify its structure of party units, cells and instructors.<sup>81</sup> Between the occupation of Czech lands in March, 1939, and the German invasion of Soviet territory on June 22, 1941, no fewer than 66 illegal periodicals were issued by KSC cells inside the Protectorate. Following the break in Russo-German relations and until Czechoslovakia's liberation in May, 1945, the number was reduced by almost half, to 38 titles. 82 Of course such publications 40

appeared irregularly, and many were published for only a bin time during the war years. Actually, this display of organization proficiency during the war became a source of criticism of the KSC after liberation by some of its opponents, who maintaine that the Party had been too preoccupied with political, organizational and propaganda work to undertake any significant resistance against the occupiers.<sup>83</sup>

Underground activities during the war were carried out by tw main groups—the Communists and the Nation's Defense, a coglomerate of various nationalistic and anti-Fascist groups. The shock of occupation caused even some conservative politician members of the Agrarian Party, for instance, to engage in opportion work. Such was the case, for example, in the Council of Ministers' top administrative department for press affairs. As ear as June of 1939, the Gestapo uncovered within the national new service 'a clandestine information center whose task it was collect political and military information and forward it to cente such as London and Warsaw. 84

Throughout the war years, the KSC managed to print sever illegal publications, as well as numerous leaflets and fliers, but the main emphasis was given to maintaining its central organ, the fir illegal issue of which appeared in August, 1939. The journalist work was implemented by members of the KSC's illegal Centre Committee and their closest co-workers. Initially, issues of Rund pravo were produced on duplicating machines, but the paper we soon clandestinely printed in various shops and then, from 194 until the end of the war, again duplicated. At the onset of the coccupation the paper usually appeared as a biweekly, but as the internal situation became more restrictive and materials grees scarce, it was issued at greater intervals. The now defunct Histo cal Institute of the KSC managed to secure only 65 numbers the illegally printed Rude pravo for its archives, giving son indication how infrequently it was issued.

Conditions for underground work proved to be more favorab in Slovakia which in 1939 became a separate state under a Geman-installed puppet government. Internal control was less effective than that of the Germans in the Protectorate, the terra was more conducive to partisan-activity and the proximity of the Soviet border afforded easier contact between Moscow and Slovakies.



Communists. Also, the Communist Party in Slovakia (KSS) became a separate section of the Comintern, although it continued to be guided by the KSC leadership. The link between Slovak Communists and the KSC leaders in Moscow proved to be a weak one, however, so that underground activities inside the puppet state were actually directed by local leaders, such as Gustav Husak and Laco Novomesky, who focused their efforts on liberating Slovakia rather than strengthening their own separate Communist organization.<sup>87</sup>

The Slovak Communists, for instance, joined as equal partners with democratic forces in forming the Slovak National Council which, with the aid of some disgruntled Slovak Army officers, staged the unsuccessful uprising against the Germans during August of 1944. (For their failure to emphasize the class struggle in their illegal work, many Slovak Communists, including Husak and Novomesky, were later criticized as "bourgeois nationalists," resulting in their imprisonment, and liquidation in some cases, during the early 1950s.) The main illegal publications of the KSS included Hlas l'udu (People's Voice), Kladivo (Hammer), Hlas dediny (Hamlet Voice) and Bol'sevicka zastava (Bolshevik Pledge), the latter being a journal devoted to ideological issues.

Of the non-Communist wartime publications in the Protectorate, the most prominent was V boj (Into Battle), which was edited by Josef Skalda until his execution by the Germans in June 1942. After Skalda's death, Vojtech Preissing led the small group devoted to publishing this underground newspaper. 88 Other non-Communist publications included Cesky kuryr (Czech Courier), published by R. Korcak's group, Kpt. Nemo (Capt. Nemo) and Retez (Chain). Most of the non-Communist papers and pamphlets were published irregularly and their names were changed frequently to confuse the occupiers.

Despite their differences in tactics and ultimate goals, the ranks of both non-Communist and Communist journalists sustained substantial losses during the war years. In 1947 the Union of Czech Journalists compiled a list of newsmen who had either perished in concentration camps or been executed by occupation forces. The list contained 112 names of which 37 were KSC members. Another roster of 126 names represented media personnel who had either been imprisoned or deported to concentration camps but

had survived. 89 While severe measures were taken by the Germans against the underground press, they were never quite successful in silencing it. The illegal press, both Communist and non-Communist, attempted as best it could under the difficult circumstances to provide the people with information about events both at home and abroad, and to dispel the false rumors which were continually circulating, initiated at times by the German propaganda machine to confuse the Public. As one wartime periodical stated:

Among the things which the Germans have robbed us of is also the means of understanding and information, particularly the press and radio. They have armed them against us, because the Czech-written press and the Czech-speaking radio are in German hands and are German aids translated into Czech.... We shall replace them by other means: by whispering news and a whispering press...

And while the total resistance effort inside Czechoslovakia was never quite as intense or extensive as it was in Poland or some of the other occupied nations of Europe, the underground press did nevertheless try to keep the spirit of resistance and hope for the future alive. It did so by urging slow-down tactics and outright sabotage in German-held factories, encouraging the boycotting of the German-controlled Czech-language press and informing its readers about the efforts being made abroad in the cause of freedom. The illegal Communist press, meanwhile, also began "to lay the foundations for [a] new ... people's democracy . . . in the country following liberation." "1

## Postwar Reorganization

Prague was liberated on May 9, 1945, by units of the Red Army. This signaled the beginning of a new epoch for the Czechoslovak state, one characterized by a struggle for power by the Communist Party, which had emerged from the occupation holding a strong political and psychological position within the country. Yirtually all aspects of national life were affected during the postwar period of reconstruction, the press being no exception. For the Communists held the belief that the press "influences social conscience [and] helps coordinate the speed

with which the revolutionar therefore, concentrated immofiles own press and weak munist press by attempting crucial of this respect was to the system, a task which fell up the Information, header at the con-

ly on strengthening the position the influence of the non-Commin control of the media. Host rganization of the nation's press e jurisdiction of the Ministry of Party functionary Kopecky.

The reorganization of the press was based on the principle, enunciated by the Communistation in the Council created during the closing days of the war, that "newspapers and periodicals are the property of the nation and cannot be the object of gainful enterprise by individuals or anonymous groups." This principle was later endorsed by the government since it did not seem to represent a radical departure from prewar traditions where individual or family ownership was the exception. Nevertheless, the provision proved to be a significant lever of control for the Ministry, whose supervision over the press included what amounted to licensing power.

This meant, in effect, that only legally recognized political parties and national organizations which were part of the National Front were allowed to publish newspapers and periodicals. Private capital was barred from print media ownership. (Some exceptions to the rule did occur in terms of specialized journals.) Thus, aside from following Marxist economic theory, the basic principle guiding press reorganization also proved most convenient for the KSC in placing the press under direct government control and, more important, subjecting the non-Communist press to the supervision of the Communist-held Ministry — Information.

The socialization of journalism was foreshadowed, surprisingly enough, by President Benes. She after returning from exile, he expressed his views on the changing nature of the press during a meeting of Czech journalists:

In my opinion, journalism is a publisservice. Unbridled freedom to publish newspapers must not be re-established. We all know what the yellow press meant before the War. This, to be sure, is a restriction of personal liberty, but we have to ask ourselves to what extent it is necessary, and in whose interest. Unrestricted freedom has to yield to public interest. This is why with us newspapers are published by public corporations, to whom the right has to be reserved in a certain way, lest something indefinite, mysterious and secret

THE CAECH BIRE DIVORK FIEDS. THE FIRS AND FEBRE

should conceal itself behind the facade of newspapers. We have to accept this principle. We all say that Liberalism it as been discarded. Thus a fact, and we must realize that one of the factors in public life that its above all subject to today's socializing trends, is journalism. How to have monize this fact with freedom of speech is another matter. But here, teo the prociple that the freedom of the individual has to be subordinated thin recdom of the whole, holds good. 95

Benes had been highly disturbed by the perforportion of the interwar press. A few extrenexploited sensationalism and practiced yellow the prewar newspapers, as one American schnot put public interest in the background; ... were sober, serious papers serving the nation we nce of a small dications had dism. Most of oscrved, "had great majority

A government decree of July 16, 1946, in the tied the information ministry to draft a new press law. A mally, work on a press law to fill the gap until a new constitution could be drafted, was begun as early as the spring of 1945. The initial proposal came in the form of a decree written by Kopecky and presented to the President's office that September; but Benes refused to sign the document on grounds that it should be decided upon by the National Assembly. A revised version of the plan was submitted to the Assembly in the spring of 1946, where it met with considerable opposition. The document was composed of several parts covering both periodic and nonperiodic publications, the status of editors and the regulation of the Union of Journalists.

Meanwhile the Ministry was granted certain regulatory powers. It thus banned the press of outlawed political parties, altered names of papers issued during the occupation, granted publishing permits, directed the national wire service and supervised the nation's broadcasting network. As a result, several major prewar newspapers were discontinued after liberation andluding the conservative dailies of long standing—Venko rodni listy and Narodni politika. The justification given to the papers' demise was that by serving the occupiers they had do regited themselves in the eyes of the nation. 98

An example of the name-changing practicausing the affected publication to lose vital; the intellectuals' voice Lidove noviny which, became Svobodne noviny (Free News), officiation of Cultural Organizations. Under the

netic usually mentity) was a gliberation, of the Assorship of Peroutka, it proved to be one of the most influential dailies during the postwar period. After the Communist takeover it became the official organ of the Union of Writers.

Communist front organizations appropriated printing establishments operated by the Germans or their so-called collaborators. Facilities of Narodni politika, for example, became the property of the Revolutionary Trade Union Movement, publisher of the daily Prace (Labor). The former offices and printing plant of the highly regarded prewar paper Prager Tagblatt, which the Nazis had turned into the propaganda sheet Der neue Tag, were appropriated by the Czechoslovak Union of Youth, publisher of the daily Mlada fronta (Young Front). 99

Following the initial readjustment in the nation's press structure, however, the greatest potential for control was made possible by the shortage of newsprint, which the Ministry's Bureau of Publications was responsible for allocating to existing newspaper concerns and printing plants. "During the period between May 1945 and February 1948, the most effective instrument of control in the development of our press was the rationing of-newsprint by the then Ministry of Information, at the head of which was a Communist," a journalist later explained. "Thanks to this reality, the then broad development of the press did, after all, have its limits."100 The Bureau also was empowered to regulate the number, size and location of periodic publications, as well as the press runs of each paper, journal and magazine. Thus, only 10 national dailies were allowed to be published in Prague, with an additional 18 daily and 87 weekly political newspapers being issued in 5 other major cities, outlying districts and rural regions of the Czech lands. 101—

The Ministry also operated its own internal information service, a network of 19 information centers throughout the country. Each was headed by a director and the entire system staffed by 47 permanent employees plus more than 100 "confidants." Information gathered by the service was channeled to Prague, where it was released. This network provided the Ministry with a fairly detailed survey of the entire country, enabling Kopecky and his colleagues to keep appropriate KSC organizations abreast of developments, thus aiding them in their propaganda work and agitation activities.

In Slovakia the situation of the press proved to be less restrictive, primarily because the Commissioner of Publications was not a Communist but member of the Slovak Democratic Party. This allowed for greater freedom and flexibility in publishing (which Communists called "unrestrained and anarchic" 103) as indicated by the size of newspapers, some as many as 20 pages. In the Czech lands the limit was set at 4 pages. The 187 periodic publications issued in Slovakia before February of 1948 had a total press run of less than 500,000 copies. 104 In comparison, the 10 Prague dailies alone had a combined press run of more than 1,800,000. Six papers following Marxist ideology had a combined circulation of 1,220,000; four non-Marxist dailies circulated 593,000 copies. 105

Opposition to Kopecky's proposed press law and his revised draft for the reorganization of the nation's press manifested itself in an unusually sharp debate in the National Assembly. The discussion of freedom of expression, the concept of liberalism and the print media's role in society reached such an impasse that even President Benes refused to participate in any further consultations on the matter. He disagreed with Kopecky on the basic question of press freedom as defined in the proposed draft. <sup>106</sup> In retrospect, it seems evident that while Benes favored certain limitations on the press, such as barring private ownership of major newspapers, he did not condone licensing as proposed by Kopecky. <sup>107</sup>

Realizing that the National Assembly would not approve his recommendations as a package, Kopecky introduced them in piecement fashion so that during fall 1946 the Assembly received the Minister's draft of a law defining the status of critics and the Union of Czechoslovak Journalists (SCSN).

The Assembly approved the new law in May, 1947. Fit a me comprehensive press law to the country was not ad ted unusome months lafter the formula menunists gained comple political power in 1948. The 1947 law defined the status of editors as follows:

An editor is an employee of an enterprise or organization such as a newspaper or news agency whose full-time occupation constitutes mental work for the purpose that ideas expressed in words or in pictures, either his own or those of others, are produced in print in the editorial part of the press or through sound reproduction.

Provisions of this law are binding on editors both in private and public employment. 108



The new law other ords, recognized editors (j. urnalists) only as those individuals are oved under a work contract, as opposed to freelance who, as along to one Union official's explanation, were exclused from the employership because such affiliation, has past experience has shown, was misused in many cases for purposes other than journalistic. Thus, all full-time journalists had to be members of the SCSN and only its members could be employed by newspapers, periodicals or any governmental department or agency dealing with press affairs.

The law further stipulated that the right to be a journalist was reserved for Czechoslovak citizens at least 21 years old who had not been deleted from the electoral rolls or sentenced according to presidential decrees covering offenses against the nation's honor, i.e., as Nazi criminals, traitors or collaborators.

Soon after liberation a cleansing commission had been strated by the Syndicate of Czech Journalists (forerunner of the SCSN) whose task it was to investigate the activities of all journalists during the occupation years. The commission included "several persons who had found protective relige to the Communist Party to cover their own was time collaboration ast activities." Such individuals depended the Party for their position and could easily be persuaded to do its bidding. Actually, seven wartime editors were sentenced to death my national courts for their collaboration and the coul others were given proson terms. Another 83 journalists was expedied from the jour distr organization. or only to justly .....asing process wa The purpose of punish the guilty of about our account for t ast. Traitors were ist were given the expelled from the ranks of journalists, the opportunity to prove that they deserved the trust and honor of being journalists in the literated Republic," a Communist publicist later explained. This was one of the most stafficant provisions which simultanes isly was to assure the streng nening of the progressive line of the press. The reference to accounting for the past" can be taken to refer to strong anti-Communist sentiments expressed by some journelists during the interver period.

The Union of Journalists was constituted as an autonomous exportation as only as March, 1946, with a Czech and Slovak sotion headquartered in Prague and Bratislava, respectively. Aside the more representing and protecting the ideological and material

interests of its members in particular and the presson general, the SCSN was entrusted with these specific tasks:

a) To defend the freedoms guaranteed by the Constitution

b) To make possible the establishment of a democratic, tree and reliable information [reportorial] service;

c) To assure that members conduct their duties in connection with their responsibilities to the public without interfering with the freedoms outlined under letter a);

d) To maintain records of members and, during arising disputes, decide whether or not conditions under paragraphs 1 and 2 [pertaining to members' responsibilities and membership qualifications] have been complied with. 112

The Union's two sections were united under a supreme body, called the Central Union of Czechoslovak Journalists, which in turn was under the supervision of the Ministry of Information to the extent of "ensuring that they [the two sections] do not infringe upon the law or regulations based on it." As may be readily seem, the Union possessed both regulatory and disciplinary powers over its members, providing it with the desired potential for influencing the daily life and work of practicing journalists. Eventually, every professional field was similarly organized and when the Communist Party came to power was used in implementing its pl. as.

The Communist-dominated trade union movement also put the non-Communist press at a disadvantage. Virtually every aspect of newspaper production, from paper mills to editorial offices, was in one way or another influenced by Communist labor leaders. An organized network of workers could apply "upward pressure" and, at times, actually impose censorship on non-Communist patters. Upon the Party's direction, for example, union leaders would persuade paper mill employees to refuse delivery of newsprint to non-Communist publications and induce printers to cease setting type until a given article critical of KSC policy or of the Soviet Union was either spiked, revised or, if published, retracted. 114

The reorganization of the press drew bitter attacks from demoeratically inclined editors, but under the circumstances their protests were unavailing. Maintenance of the tenuous accord among the parties of the National Front had to be assured, a fact which tended to restrict press content as did the "sacred cow" topics of the Soviet Union and its fundamental institutions, as well as the internal two-year economic reconstruction plan initiated in October, 1946. Also, criticizing the new provisions was difficult because Benes generally favored them. Many non-Communist journalists felt that maintaining the Republic's independence and neutrality rested in the hands of the President; thus, to criticize the new system meant to oppose Benes, which could only benefit the Communists.

The political situation in Czechoslovakia reached the crisis stage early in 1948, culminating in President Benes' resignation and the ascension of Gottwald and the Communist Party to total power by the end of February. The last remaining hurdles to Kopecky effort to transform the press along Communist lines were removed, and the process of reorganization accelerated. As a direct result, many of the traditions, customs and practices developed by the nation's press during the previous 100 years—especially freedom of expression—were scrapped, and a new set of standards and guidelines was imposed by the Party in an effort to mold the newly established People's Democracy into a Socialist state.

## Postscript

SINCE the change in the country's political orientation in 1948, the Czechoslovak press has experienced a turbulent existence. During the first quarter of its second hundred years, it has encountered disgrace and humiliation as well as euphoria. The former is exemplified by the early 1950s when the press succumbed to almost total Russification, while the latter occurred when the nation's print media (especially the cultural press) stood in the vanguard of the reform movement of the 1960s that culminated in what since has become known as the phenomenon of the Prague Spring.\* It was during this significant and memorable segment of its history, almost a decade ago, that the Czech and Slovak press regained its freedom for a brief six months and displayed once again on its pages the spirit of Havlicek and Stur, a frequently suppressed but enduring trait that has made the press the nation's conscience during political and moral upheaval.

<sup>\*</sup>For a detailed study of this period and the antecedent events see this author's Winter Into Spring: The Czechoslovak Press and the Reform Movement 1963-1968, scheduled for publication in 1977.

- 1. Milena Berankova, Ceske novinarstvi narodniho obrozeni (Czech Journalism of the National Revival) (Prague: Statni pedagogicke nakladatelstvi, 1965), p. 61.
- 2. Vladimir Klimes, Pocathy ceskeho a slovenskeho novinarstvi (The Beginnings of Czech and Slovak Journalism) (Prague: Orbis, 1955), p. 169.
- 3. Josef Volf, "Dejiny novin a casopisu: I. Do roku 1848" (The History of Newspapers and Periodicals: Part I Until the Year 1848) in *Ceskoslovenska Vlastiveda*, Dil. VII: *Pisemnietvi* (Czechoslovak National Study, Vol. VII: Literature), Vaclav Dedina, ed. (Prague: Sfinx, 1933), p. 430.
- 4. The name derives from the legendary sage Prince Krok whose three daughters were individually the embodiment of Czech scholarship (namely the natural sciences, history and philosophy) and jointly personified the art of poetry.
  - 5. Volf, "Dejiny novin a casopisu," p. 433.
  - 6. Berankova, Ceske novinarstvi narodniho obrozeni, p. 80.
- 7. Joseph F. Zacek, "Nationalism in Czechoslovakia" in Nationalism in Eastern Europe, Peter F. Sugar and Ivo J. Lederer, eds., Far Eastern and Russian Institute Publications on Russia and Eastern Europe, No. 1 (Seattle, Wash.: University of Washington Press, 1969), p. 189.
- 8. Frano Ruttkay, "Ludovit Stur klasik slovenskeho novinarstva" (Ludovit Stur, Classic of Slovak Journalism) *Novinarsky sbornik*, X, No. 3 (1965), p. 300
  - 9. Berankova, Ceske novinarstvi, p. 85.
  - 10. Quoted in Ibid., p. 86.
- 11. Volf, "Dejiny novin a casopisu," p. 433. Also see Hans Kohn, Pan-Slavism: Its History and Ideology, 2nd ed., revised (New York: Vintage Books, 1960), pp. 90-98.
  - 12. Kohn, Pan-Slavism, p. 24.
  - 13. Volf, "Dejiny novin," p. 434.
- 14. Milena Berankova, Cesky burzoazni tisk v druhe polovine 19. stoleti (The Czech Bourgeois Press in the Second Half of the 19th Century) (Prague: Statni pedagogicke nakladatelstvi, 1964), p. 27.
- 15. The article is reprinted in Vaclav Prochazka, Karel Havlicek Borovsky (Prague: Svobodne slovo, 1961), pp. 230-34. (Quote appears on page 231.)
- 16. Alexander Bach was appointed Minister of the Interior in 1849 and ruled as virtual dictator of Austria's domestic affairs. The "Bach system" was in effect until his dismissal in July, 1859.
  - 17. Quoted in Berankova, Cesky burzoasni tisk, p. 35.
- 18. Karel Hoch, "Dejiny novin a casopisu: II. Od roku 1860 do doby soucasne" (The History of Newspapers and Periodicals: Part II From 1860 to the Present) in Ceskoslovenska Vlastiveda, Dil. VII: Pisemnictvi (Czechoslovak National Study, Vol. VII: Literature), Vaclav Dedina, ed. (Prague: Sfinx, 1933), p. 449.
  - 19. Berankova, Cesky burzaasni tisk, pp. 91-2.

20. Ibid., p. 92.

21. The press law of 1862 stipulated that the "responsible editor," not necessarily the true editor-in-chief, was the person responsible for the paper's content. If an article constituted a breach of the law, it was the responsible editor who was held to answer in court unless he revealed the author's name.

22. Frantisck Roubik, "K vyvoji casopisectva v cechach v letech 1863-1895" (On the Development of Journalism in Bohemia Between 1863-1895) in his Bibliografie casopisectva v cechach z let 1863-1895 (Bibliography of the Periodic Press in Bohemia 1863-1895) (Prague: Ceska academie ved a umeni, 1936), p. VII.

23. Ibid., p. IX.

24. Jan Nahlovsky, Casopisy v Ceskoslovenske Republice (Periodicals in the Czechoslovak Republic) (Prague: Statni Urad Statistiky, 1923), pp. 20.21:

25. Ibid., pp. 23-3.

- 26. Josef Vejvara, Historie spolku ceskych zurnalistu v praze: 1877-1927 (History of the Organization of Czech Journalists in Prague: 1877-1927) (Prague: Spolek ceskych zurnalistu, 1927), p. 9.
- 27. Vojtech Dolejsi, Noviny a novinari: Z poznamek a vzpominek (Newspapers and Newsmen: From Notes and Recollections) (Prague: Nakladatelstvi politicke literatury, 1963), p. 296.

28. S. Harrison Thomson, Czechoslovakia in European History (Hamden, Conn.: Archon Books, 1965), p. 276.

29. Hoch, "Dejiny novin a casopisu," p. 493.

30, Cyrill Merhout, ed., Dokumenty nascho osvobozeni (Documents of Our Liberation) (Prague: Bedrich Koci, 1919), p. 50.

31. Thomas Garrigue Masaryk, The Making of a State: Memories and Observations, 1914-1918, an English version arranged and prepared by Henry Wickham Steed (New York: Frederick A. Stokes, 1927), p. 373...

32. Karel Capek, Hovory s T. G. Masarykem (Conversations with T. G. Masaryk) (Prague: Ceskoslovensky spisovatel, 1969), p. 110.

33. Z. A. B. Zeman, Prague Spring (New York: Hill and Wang, 1969), p. 42. For a detailed explanation of the writer-journalist concept, see: Herbert Passin, "Writer and Journalist in the Traditional Society," in Communication and Political Development, Lucian W. Pye, ed., Studies in Political Development, No. 1 (Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press, 1963), pp. 82-123; and Frank L. Kaplan, "The Writer as Political Actor in Czechoslovak Society: A Historical Perspective," East European Quarterly, VII: 199-220 (September 1973).

34. Robert J. Kerner, ed., Czechoslovakia: Twenty Years of Independence (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1940), p. 132.

35. Ibid., p. 121.

36. Foreign news was supplied in large part by Ceskoslovenska tiskova kancalar, the Czechoslovak News Agency (CTK), a state owned news service established in 1918 as a successor to the Prague bureau of the Austrian News Agency (Korbyro). CTK had agreements with major world wire services, and prior to World War II its staff consisted of 47 editors and reporters.

- 37. Eduard Bass, "The Czech Reads His Papers in Cafes," World's Press News, July 21, 1938, p. 29.
- 38. Albin E. Johnson, "Czech Journalism Encouraged by Government Expenditure," Editor & Publisher, August 17, 1929, p. 24.
  - 39. Ibid.
  - 40. Dolejsi, Noviny a novinari, p. 13.
  - 41. Ibid., p. 10.
- 42. Paul E. Zinner, Communist Strategy and Tactics in Czechoslovakia, 1918-1948, Praeger Publications in Russian History and World Communism, No. 129 (New York: Frederick A. Praeger, 1963), pp. 17-18.
- 43. The intent of the directive to Bolshevize, adopted during Comintern's Fifth Congress of 1924, was to have all member parties adopt the characteristics of the Russian Communist Party, in both their organizational structure and tactics. The drive toward uniformity within the international Communist movement was aimed specifically at providing Soviet leaders with greater possibilities for control over the activities of individual Communist parties.
- 44. Ustav Dejin Komunisticke Strany Ceskoslovenska, *Prirucni slovnik k dejinam KSC* (Encyclopedia of the History of the KSC), 2 vols., (Prague: Nakladatelstvi politicke literatury, 1964), I, p. 118 and II, p. 889.
  - 45. Zinner, Communist Strategy and Tactics, p. 23.
- 46. Kenneth E. Olson, The History Makers: The Press of Europe from Its Beginnings through 1965 (Baton Rouge: Louisiana State University Press, 1966), pp. 358-9.
- 47. For an analysis of Comintern's mechanism of control over the press of its sections, see Frank L. Kaplan, "The Communist International's Press Control from Moscow," Journalism Quarterly, 48: 315-25 (Summer 1971). For work in English on the formation of the KSC, see H. Gordon Skilling, "The Formation of a Communist Party in Czechoslovakia," American Slavic and East European Review, XIV, No. 3 (1955), pp. 346-58; and Zinner, Communist Strategy and Tactics in Czechoslovakia, especially Part I.
- 48: Zdenek Tobolka, Za svobodu a socialismus: Padesat let Prava lidu prveho ceskeho socialistickeho deniku 1897-1947 (For Freedom and Socialism: Fifty Years of "People's Right," First Czech Socialist Daily 1897-1947) (Prague: Delnicke nakladatelstvi, 1947), p. 139.
  - 49. Skilling, "The Formation of a Communist Party," p. 350.
- 50. Tobolka, Za svobodu a socialismus, p. 145. Also see Dolejsi, Noviny a novinari, p. 43.
- 51. Frantisek Helesic, "K problematice prace Rudeho prava na prelomu 20. a 30. let" (Problems Surrounding the Work of "Red Right's" Editorial Dept. During the Late 1920s and Early 1930s) Sesity novinare, III, No. 3 (1968), p. 47.
  - 52. Ibid.
- 53. The KSC actually exceeded the CSSD in membership and popularity until 1928 when the former's hardening line and narrow political program



caused it to lose ground. The Communist Party regained some of its popularity after 1935, however, as the Party joined the defense of the nation against the increasing threat of German fascism.

54. For a comprehensive account of literary relations between Czechoslovakia and Soviet Russia, see Milada Souckova, A Literary Satellite: Czechoslovak-Russian Literary Relations (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1970.)

55. Frantisek Helesic, "Rude pravo v období upevnovaní panství burzoazie a docasne stabilizace kapitalismu v Ceskoslovensku (Cast druha)" ("Red Right" and the Period of the Bourgeoisie's Consolidation of Power and the Transitory Stabilization of Capitalism in Czechoslovakia - Part Two) Novinarsky sbornik, V, No. 3 (1960), p. 344.

56. Ibid., p. 334.

- 57. For studies pertaining to the history of the Communist press in Slovakia during the interwar period, see Josef Darmo, Slovenska zurnalistike 1918-1938 (Slovak Journalism 1918-1938), II (Martin: Matica Slovenska, 1966), and Josef Darmo, "Pravda-bojovnik za marxisticku stranu a prava pracujucich (1920-1935)" (Pravda-Fighter for the Marxist Party and Workers' Rights [1920-1935]) Novinarsky sbornik, V. No. 4 (1960), pp. 473-92.
- 58. Darmo, "Pravda-bojovnik za marxisticku stranu," pp. 476-7. See also Ustav Dejin Komunisticke Strany Ceskoslovenska, *Prirucni slovnik k dejinam KSC* (Encyclopedia of the History of the KSC) 2 vols. (Prague: Nakladatelstvi politicke literatury, 1964), I, p. 217.

59. Helesic, "Rude pravo v obdobi upevnovani panstvi burzoazie," p. 315.

60. Ibid., p. 340.

61. Ibid.

62. Darmo, "Pravda-bojovnik," p. 475.

63. Helesic, "K problematice," p. 41.

64. Because both Germany and Czechoslovakia were considered imperialist powers by the KSC, a war between the two could be looked upon only as an imperialist war in which neither side should be supported.

65. Stanislav Budin, [Untitled memoirs], I (Prague: 1968), p. 191 (Copy of typewritten manuscript). I am indebted to Mr. Karel Jezdinsky for allowing me to read his copy of the manuscript.

66. Dolejsi, Noviny a novinari, p. 53.

67. Budin, [Untitled memoirs], p. 181.

68. The seven signing the manifesto were Josef Hora, Marie Majerova, Helena Malirova, St. K. Neumann, Ivan Olbracht, Jaroslav Seifert and Vladislav Vancura. A few of the above regained their Party status after World War II while the others, for the most part, continued to sympathize with the Party's basic socialist aims.

69. Frantisek Helesic, "Skutecni sefredaktori a redaktori Rudeho prava 1920-1938" (Actual Chief Editors and Editors of "Red Right" 1920-1938)

Prispevky k definam KSC, VII, No. 5 (1967), p. 744.

70. Dolejsi, Noviny a novinari, p. 45.

71. Examples of such articles include "The Communist Press in the Struggle Against the War," Communist International (London), IX, Nos. 4-5



(March 1932), pp. 131-7, and G. Smolyansky, "The Chief Obstacles to the Work of the Communist Party of Czecho-Slovakia," Communist International (London), X, No. 16 (August 1933), pp. 551-6.

72. Stanislav Budin joined the KSC during the interwar period. He was active in the Communist youth movement and later became a publicist, editing Rude pravo for a 16-month period during the mid 1930s before being dismissed from his post and expelled from the Party. During the war years he worked in New York, returning to Czechoslovakia after Germany's defeat. Never regaining his Party membership, he nevertheless continued working as a journalist, writer and publicist until he became an editor of Reporter, a magazine published by the Union of Czechoslovak Journalists from 1966 until its demise three years later. Budin retired in 1969 after 35 years in journalism.

73. Quoted in Frantisek Helesic, "Komunisticti novinari a levicova kultura" (Communist Newsmen and Leftist Culture) Sesity novinare, III, No. 3 (1968), p. 90.

74. *Ibid.*, p. 119.

75. The Left Front movement, an umbrella-type organization including writers, artists and professionals, was created at the insistence of the KSC to attract the middle-of-the-road strata of the intelligentsia. During 1930 the LF was composed of seven organizations with 560 members, of whom 300 were in Prague and 150 in Brno. Although a Communist front organization, it was not directly controlled by the KSC and, more often than not, articles appearing in its publications opposed Party policy on culture and control of the creative process.

76. Quoted in Helesic, "K problematice," p. 59.

77. Budin, [Untitled memoirs], p. 268.

78. Kopetsky [sic], V[aclav], "Concerning the 'Rude Pravo'-Central Organ of the Communist Party of Czechoslovakia," Communist International (New York), XIII, No. 3 (March 1936), p. 474.

79. Tomas Pasak, "Problematika protektoratniho tisku a formovani tzv. skupiny activistickych novinaru na pocatku okupace" (Problems of the Protectorate Press and the Formation of the So-called Group of Activist Journalists at the Beginning of the Occupation) Prispevky k dejinam KSC, VII, No. 1 (1967), p. 54.

80. Dolejsi, Noviny a novinari, p. 422. Also see Vladimir Hudec, "Journalism in Liberated Czechoslovakia Thirty Years Ago," The Democratic Journalist (Prague), Nos. 7-8 (1975), p. 21.

81. Zinner, Communist Strategy and Tactics in Czechoslovakia, p. 73.

82. Hudec, "Journalism in Liberated Czechoslovakia," p. 20.

83. Petr Zenkl, "Communist Seizure of Power and the Press: Czechoslovakia, 1945-1948," in Communist Penetration and Exploitation of the Free Press, Committee Print of the U.S. Congress, Senate, Committee on the Judiciary (Washington, D.C.: Government Printing Office, 1962), p. 42.

84. Pasak, "Problematika protektoratniho tisku," pp. 64-5.

85. Dolejsi, Noviny a novinari, pp. 420-23.

86. Ibid., p. 422. Another source indicates that the number of illegally published—"in the most varied mutations"—issues of Rude pravo discovered after the war was 61. See Hudec, "Journalism in Liberated Czechoslovakia," p. 20.

87. Zinner, Communist Strategy and Tactics in Czechoslovakia, p. 75.

88. For a brief study of the underground press, see also Douglas C. McMurtrie, Joseph Skalda: Underground Editor (Roxbury, Mass.: 1944.)

89. Dolejsi, Noviny a novinari, p. 399.

90. Jaroslav Koutek, "The Clandestine Press in Struggle." The Democratic Journalist (Pragué), No. 9 (1975), p. 22.

91. Hudec, "Journalism in Liberated Czechoslovakia," p. 20.

92. The postwar reconstruction plan of the Czechoslovak government, known as the Kosice Program, was formulated by the KSC leadership in Moscow and approved by President Benes without any significant changes. Moreover, the Communists gained a solid footing in the new government by securing eight of the top 25 posts, including two vice premierships and five ministries—Interior (police), Information, Education, Agriculture, and Labor-Social Welfare. In addition, a KSC member was named deputy to the Minister of Foreign Affairs and a left-wing Social Democrat backed by the Communists received the premiership. The fact that Prague was liberated by Soviet troops and Benes returned to the country from his exile in London via Moscow provided the Communist Party with valuable psychological advantage during the months following the end of the war.

93. Libuse Sumavska, "Pohled na vyvoj ceskeho tisku od r. 1945" (A Glance at Development of the Gzech-Press from 1945)-Novinarsky sbornik, X, No. 1 (1965), p. 9.

94. Quoted in K[arel] F. Zieris, The New Organization of the Czech Press, trans. G. Bohdan (Prague: Orbis, 1947), p. 8.

95. Svobodne slovo, July 28, 1945, quoted in Ibid., pp. 8-9.

96. Olson, The History Makers, p. 361.

97. Jaroslav Kladiva, Kultura a politika /1945-1948/ (Culture and Politics /1945-1948) (Prague: Svoboda, 1968), p. 278.

98. Dolejsi, Noviny a novinari. p. 444.

99. Ibid., 443-44, 446.

100. Jaroslav Vesely, "Vyvoj ceskoslovenskeho tisku, jeho struktura a perspektiva" (Development of the Czechoslovak Press, Its Structure and Perspective) Novinarsky sbornik, VI, No. 2 (1961), p. 127.

101. Ibid.

102. Kladiva, Kultura a politika, pp. 111-12.

103. Vesely, "Vyvoj ceskoslovenskeho tisku," p. 127.

104. Ibid.

105. Zieris, The New Organization of the Czech Press, pp. 19ff.

106. Kladiva, Kultura a politika, p. 279.

107. Ibid.

108. Sbirka zakonu a narizeni republiky Ceskoslovenske (Collection of Laws and Statutes of the Czechoslovak Republic), No. 101/1947, p. 519.

109. Zieris, The New Organization of the Czech Press, p. 52.

110. Josef Korbel, The Communist Subversion of Czechoslovakia, 1938-1948: The Failure of Coexistence (Frinceton, N.J.: Princeton University Press, 1959), p. 143.

111. Sumavska, "Pohled na vyvoj ceskeho tisku," p. 10.

112. Sbirka zakonu a narizeni, No. 101/1947, p. 521.

113. Ibid.

114. Zenkl, "Communist Seizure of Power and the Press," p. 51.